

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,777	06/27/2003	Hiromi Saitoh	115992	6376	
25944	7590 09/02/2004		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			DUDEK, JAMES A		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871	2871	
		DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer.	10/606,777	SAITOH, HIROMI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James A. Dudek	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the privisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX-(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
S)							
7) Claim(s) <u>4 and 17-19</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>4 and 17-19</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	` ,,,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
,							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/606,777

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0147036 ("036") in view of US Patent 6,654,083 ("083").

IN re claims 1-3 5-8, 036 teaches an electro-optical device [16] where projection light from a light source enters an image display area [see source 1 and figure 1]; a dust proof substrate [28]; a second light blocking film formed on at least one of a pair of substrates, one substrate being placed on a light source side and the other substrate configuring the electro-optical device and a packaging case [29] to hold at least a part of a peripheral area in a periphery of the image display area in the electro-optical device [see figure 2A and 2B] and housing the device and dust-proof substrate, the second light blocking film, the first light blocking film [will be addressed below], the dust proof substrate and the packaging case configuring a heat conducting path [see 31].

Regarding the second black matrix, it was well known to use a black mask formed on one of the electro-optical device's substrates in projectors in order to improve contrast, Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to combine the well known black matrix with 036.

Regarding the first light blocking film formed on the dust-proof substrate, 083 teaches using a shielding layer on a dust proof substrate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to combine the shielding layer of 083 with 036, as taught by 083 to provide a periphery that does not interfere with ultraviolet light irradiation for curing the ultraviolet light curing resin in the seal area adhered with the seal material, because adhesion of the first transparent substrate and second transparent substrate have already been completed before attaching the third

Application/Control Number: 10/606,777

Art Unit: 2871

transparent substrate or in the production process of the electronic optical device according to the present invention. In other words, the first partitioning periphery or is disposed so that the display area side edge of it may position nearer to the peripheral side than the position of the effective display area side edge of the second partitioning periphery. Namely, the edge of the display area side of the first partitioning periphery or is disposed more outer side than the edge of the display area side of the second partitioning periphery. The first partitioning periphery and the second partitioning periphery are provided so as to be overlapped at least partly each other, in plain state. Such construction, in which the first partitioning periphery and the second partitioning periphery are overlapped with each other, makes it possible to perform the light-shielding at the periphery of the display area, more surely.

In re claim 5, see paragraph 49.

In re claim 6, the 036 lacks the use of sapphire. However it was well known that sapphire is scratch resistant and thus it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to use sapphire as the dust proof substrate to reduce scratching, i.e. it would have been obvious to combine.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 571-272-2290. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yames A. Dudek Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871